



Environmental Product Declaration

IN ACCORDANCE WITH ISO 14025 AND ISO 21930:2017

Series 206 Sub-Flex EP

Program Operator: Smart EPD®
www.smartepd.com



SmartEPD-2026-068-1029-02

Date of Issue

Sep 23, 2026

Expiration Date

Sep 23, 2031

Last Updated

Sep 23, 2026

Refer to the EPD Library at www.smartepd.com for the latest EPD listing information



General Information

Tnemec

📍 123 West 23rd Avenue, North Kansas City, Missouri 64116

📞 816-483-3400

✉️ environmentalgroup@tnemec.com

🌐 www.tnemec.com



Product Name:	Series 206 Sub-Flex EP
Functional Unit:	1 m2 of covered and protected substrate for a period of 60 years
Declaration Number:	SmartEPD-2026-068-1029-02
Date of Issue:	September 23, 2026
Expiration:	September 23, 2031
Last updated:	September 23, 2026
EPD Scope:	Cradle to grave A1 - A3, A4, A5, B1 - B7, C1 - C4, D
Market(s) of Applicability:	North America

General Organization Information

Tnemec Company, Inc. is a privately held manufacturer of high-performance protective coatings and linings, founded in 1921. The company specializes in coatings that protect surfaces and structures from corrosion, serving critical infrastructure, industrial, water, wastewater, architectural, and other demanding markets. It is headquartered in North Kansas City, MO, with manufacturing facilities in North Kansas City, MO, Baltimore, MD, and Shanghai, China, and distribution facilities across North America.

Further information can be found at: <https://tnemec.com/>

Limitations, Liability and Ownership

Environmental declarations from different programs (ISO 14025) may not be comparable. Comparison of the environmental performance of products using EPD information shall be based on the product's use and impacts at the building level, and therefore EPDs may not be used for comparability purposes when not considering the whole building life cycle. EPD comparability is only possible when all stages of a life cycle have been considered. However, variations and deviations are possible. Example of variations: Different LCA software and background LCI datasets may lead to differences results for upstream or downstream of the life cycle stages declared. The EPD owner has sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.

In order to support comparative assertions, this EPD meets all comparability requirements stated in ISO 14025:2006. However, differences in certain assumptions, data quality, and variability between LCA data sets may still exist. As such, caution should be exercised when evaluating EPDs from different manufacturers or programs, as the EPD results may not be entirely comparable. Any EPD comparison must be carried out at the construction works level per ISO 21930:2017 guidelines. The results of this EPD reflect an average performance by the product and its actual impacts may vary on a case-to-case basis.

Reference Standards

Standard(s): ISO 14025:2006 and ISO 21930:2017



PCR:	NSF PCR for Resinous Floor Coatings v.1e Date of issue: December 17, 2018 Valid until: August 05, 2026
PCR review panel:	Contact Smart EPD for more information.
General Program Instructions:	Smart EPD General Program Instructions v.2.0, March 2025

Verification Information

LCA Author/Creator:	Seth Rogers PARQ seth@parqhq.com	
EPD Program Operator:	Smart EPD info@smartepd.com www.smartepd.com 585 Grove St., Ste. 145, Herndon, VA 20170, USA	
Verification:	Independent critical review of the LCA and data, according to ISO 14044 and ISO 14071: Rifat Karim Independent Consultant rifat.chimique@gmail.com	External
	Independent external verification of EPD, according to ISO 14025 and reference PCR(s): Mari Kirss mari.kirss@meetripuu.ee	External

Product Information

Functional Unit:	1 m2 of covered and protected substrate for a period of 60 years
Mass:	1.262 kg
Reference Service Life:	10 Years
Product Specificity:	Product Average Product Specific

Product Description

Flexible epoxy underlayment for bridging small substrate cracks in concrete and to provide a protective waterproof membrane under aggregate reinforced flooring systems and thin-film urethane topcoats.

Further information can be found at: <https://production.tnemec.com/resources/product/PDS/206.pdf>

Product Specifications

Product SKU(s):	Series 206 Sub-Flex EP
Product Classification Codes:	EC3 - Finishes -> Flooring -> OtherFlooring



Coating Type:	Self-leveling or broadcast slurry floor coating
Options:	✓ Industrial
Estimated market service life:	10 years
Estimated technical service life:	15 years

Material Composition

Material/Component Category	Origin	% Mass
Additive	US	< 10
Pigment	US	< 10
Resin	US, CN, SK	69 - 100
Solvent	US	< 10

Packaging Material	Origin	kg Mass
Plastic hdpe	US	0.21

Hazardous Materials
Blocked polyurethane (2155840-39-2)
Epoxy resin (LER) (25085-99-8)
1,4-Butanediol diglycidyl ether (2425-79-8)
Titanium dioxide (total dust) (13463-67-7)
Phenol, styrenated (61788-44-1)
Benzyl alcohol (100-51-6)
Polyoxypropylenetriamine (39423-51-3)
Modified aliphatic amine (2855-13-2)
Cycloaliphatic amine (Not disclosed)
Bis(dimethylaminomethyl)phenol (71074-89-0)

If product is in liquid form health hazards related to dust are not considered significant.

EPD Data Specificity

Primary Data Year:	January 1, 2025 - December 31, 2025
Manufacturing Specificity:	✗ Industry Average
	✗ Manufacturer Average
	✓ Facility Specific



Averaging:
Averaging was not conducted for this EPD.

System Boundary


Production	A1	Raw material supply	✓
	A2	Transport	✓
	A3	Manufacturing	✓
Construction	A4	Transport to site	✓
	A5	Assembly / Install	✓
Use	B1	Use	✓
	B2	Maintenance	✓
	B3	Repair	✓
	B4	Replacement	✓
	B5	Refurbishment	✓
	B6	Operational Energy Use	✓
	B7	Operational Water Use	✓
End of Life	C1	Deconstruction	✓
	C2	Transport	✓
	C3	Waste Processing	✓
	C4	Disposal	✓
Benefits & Loads Beyond System Boundary	D	Recycling, Reuse Recovery Potential	✓

Note:
ND = Module not declared

Plants

Themec 123 West 23rd Avenue, North Kansas City, Missouri 64116, USA

Product Flow Diagram



Software And Database

- LCA Software:  SimaPro v. 10.2
- LCI Foreground Database(s):  Ecoinvent v. 3.9.1 |  Cut-off by Classification
- LCI Background Database(s):  Ecoinvent v. 3.9.1 |  Cut-off by Classification

A foreground LCI database is the database used to model the primary, site-specific data collected for this EPD. A background LCI database is the database used to model generic or non-specific data.

Data Quality

The quality of inventory data is evaluated based on several criteria, including precision, completeness, consistency, and representativeness. The analysis follows the data quality level and criteria of the UN Environment Global Guidance on LCA database development. Based on these factors, the overall data quality is rated as "Good".

Precision and completeness:

- Precision (Very Good): The inventory data used in this study were either directly measured, calculated, or estimated based on primary data sources, ensuring high precision. Background data from ecoinvent v3 database also has documented precision to the extent available.
- Completeness (Very Good): The product system's mass balance and inventory completeness were thoroughly checked. Some exclusions were made in line with the PCR requirements, such as personnel impacts, R&D activities, business travel, secondary packaging, point of sale infrastructure, and the coating applicator. However, no data was intentionally omitted.

Consistency and reproducibility:

- Consistency (Good): Primary data were collected with a similar level of detail, while background data primarily came from the ecoinvent database, with other databases used only if necessary or more representative. The modeling approach and other methodological choices were applied consistently throughout the model.
- Reproducibility (Very Good): This study ensures reproducibility by providing comprehensive disclosure of input-output data, dataset choices, and modeling approaches. A knowledgeable third party should be able to approximate the results using the same data and modeling methods.

Representativeness (Good):

- Temporal: Primary data were collected for the totality of the reference study period to ensure the representativeness. Secondary data from the ecoinvent v3.9.1 database is typically representative of recent years, a detailed data quality analysis following ISO 21930 was performed on the background database and is available at request.
- Geographical: Primary data represents Tnemec's production facility in North Kansas City, MO and Baltimore, MD. We considered regional differences in electric grid mix using appropriate secondary data. Country-specific data ensures high geographical representativeness, with proxy data used only when necessary.
- Technological (Good): Both primary and secondary data were tailored to the specific technologies studied, ensuring high technological representativeness.

Life Cycle Module Description

The production stage (A1-A3) starts with the reception of raw materials, typically in the form of chemical packages (cans, plastic pails, plastic drums, supersacks, bags, etc). Next, the raw materials are mixed to form resins and additives. Non-hazardous waste, consisting mainly of packaging waste materials, is sent for disposal, incineration, and/or recycling. Hazardous waste consists of manufacturing product losses.

The construction stage (A4-A5) starts with the packaged and finished coating leaving the production site and ends with the finished coating being installed/applied and cured onto the flooring substrate at the site. Impacts include VOC emissions from curing, product packaging disposal, and upstream production stage impacts associated with the ~2% of the wet mass of the coating that remains unused.

The use stage (B1-B7) begins after the resinous floor coating has fully cured after installation and continues until the product reaches its end-of-life stage. Impacts include all cleaning and necessary recoating events during the service lifetime.

End-of-life (C1-C4) was modeled according to PCR descriptions. Product at the end of life is sent to landfill. Unused solvent-based coatings are incinerated for energy recovery and water-based coatings are sent to landfill.



Finally, impacts beyond system boundaries (Module D) include energy recovered from unused product incineration (C3) and the recycling of packaging materials (A5).

LCA Discussion

Allocation Procedure

Allocation of co-products was avoided, to the extent it was possible, based on the guidance given in ISO 14044:2006, 4.3., in ISO 21930:2017. Energy use, water consumption, wastewater treatment and VOC emissions at the North Kansas City, MO and Baltimore, MD were allocated by mass. Solid waste was estimated using packaging masses and material losses and allocated following the polluter pays principle.

Cut-off Procedure

The system boundary was defined based on relevance to the goal of the study. For the raw material (A1) and process related inputs (A3), all available energy and material flow data have been included in the model. Exclusions allowed by the PCR such as secondary and tertiary packaging, were implemented.

Renewable Electricity

Energy Attribute Certificates (EACs) such as Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) or Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) are included in the baseline reported results: ✗ No

Scenarios

Transport to the building/construction site (A4)

A4 Module

Fuel Type:	Diesel
Vehicle Type:	Truck and Trailer
Transport Distance:	1.00e+03 km
Capacity Utilization:	33 %
Packaging Mass:	2.15e-01 kg
Gross density of products transported:	1.13e+03 kg/m3
Weight of products transported:	1.48e+00 kg
Volume of products transported:	1.30e-06 m3
Capacity utilization volume factor:	=1
Assumptions for scenario development:	Transport distance includes finished product to distribution center and distribution center to point of sale. Transportation was modeled using a 32-tonne Euro 5 heavy-duty truck

Installation in to the building/construction site (A5)

A5 Module

Installation Scrap Rate Assumed:	2 %
Product Lost per Declared/Functional Unit:	0.0252 kg
Mass of Packaging Waste Specified by Type:	2.15e-01 kg
Assumptions for scenario development:	2% in installation scrap rate assumed in accordance with the PCR.

Use (B1)

B1 Module

Use Conditions:

Once the product is cured, the use stage starts. No impacts associated with this module have been calculated.

Maintenance (B2)

B2 Module

Maintenance Cycle:	36.67 Cycles/RSL 220 Cycles/ESL
Net Fresh Water Consumption Specified by Water Source and Fate:	0.83 m3
Ancillary Materials Specified by Type:	1.32 kg
Maintenance Process Information:	Routine cleaning is assumed using water and a generic water-based floor detergent suitable for resinous flooring systems. In accordance with the PCR for Resinous Floor Coatings, each cleaning event uses 1 gallon (3.785 L) of mop water with ½ cup (0.118 L) of cleaning solution per 100 m². A total of 220 equivalent cleaning events per 1 m² over the 60-year reference period is modelled.

Repair (B3)

B3 Module

Further assumptions for scenario development:	No repair impacts assumed as per PCR guidance.
---	--

Replacement (B4)

B4 Module

Reference Service Life:	10 Years
Replacement Cycle:	5 (ESL/RSL)-1
Replacement of Worn Parts:	6.31 kg
Further assumptions for scenario development:	Values assume technical service life

Refurbishment (B5)

B5 Module

Further assumptions for scenario development:	No refurbishment impacts assumed as per PCR guidance.
---	---



Operational Energy Use (B6) & Operational Water Use (B7)

B6 & B7 Modules

Further assumptions for scenario development:
No operational energy and water use as per PCR guidance.

End of Life (C1 - C4)

C1 - C4 Modules

Collection Process

Collected Separately:	1.51e-01 kg
Collected with Mixed Construction Waste:	7.53e+00 kg

Recovery

Landfill:	7.53e+00 kg
Incineration:	1.51e-01 kg

Assumptions for scenario development:
Applied product is assumed as being landfilled and solvent-based unused product is assumed as being incinerated at the end of life, following PCR prescriptions

Reuse, Recovery and / or Recycling Potentials & Relevant Scenario Information (D)

D Module

Net Energy Benefit from Energy Recovery from Waste Treatment Declared as Export Energy in C3:	5.57 MJ
---	---------

Further assumptions for scenario development: According to the ecoinvent 3.9.1 process “treatment of waste paint,” the incineration of unused product at installation achieves a gross thermal efficiency of 74.4% and a gross electrical efficiency of 10%.

Results

Environmental Impact Assessment Results

IPCC AR5 GWP 100, TRACI 2.1

per 1 m2 of product of covered and protected substrate for a period of 60 years.

LCIA results are relative expressions and do not predict impacts on category endpoints, the exceeding of thresholds, safety margins or risks.

Market service lifetime

Impact Category	Unit	Method	A1 - A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
GWP-total	kg CO2-eq	IPCC AR5 GWP 100	7.71e+0	1.56e-1	5.67e-1	0	6.14e+0	0	4.21e+1	0	0	0	0	2.60e-2	5.49e-1	1.53e+0	-2.53e+0
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	TRACI 2.1	7.82e-5	2.68e-9	1.56e-6	0	1.85e-7	0	3.99e-4	0	0	0	0	4.46e-10	3.75e-9	4.19e-8	-2.65e-8
AP	kg SO2 eq	TRACI 2.1	3.07e-2	4.98e-4	7.13e-4	0	2.34e-2	0	1.59e-1	0	0	0	0	8.29e-5	3.60e-4	5.29e-3	-6.00e-3
EP	kg N eq	TRACI 2.1	3.16e-2	1.38e-4	7.31e-4	0	2.87e-2	0	1.63e-1	0	0	0	0	2.29e-5	2.33e-4	5.27e-3	-4.01e-3
POCP	kg O3 eq	TRACI 2.1	3.99e-1	1.14e-2	1.09e-2	0	3.24e-1	0	2.11e+0	0	0	0	0	1.90e-3	4.73e-3	8.59e-2	-1.30e-1

Note:

Not all abbreviated indicators listed below may be present in the results above. The inclusion of indicators varies based on PCR requirements.

Abbreviations:

GWP = Global Warming Potential, 100 years (may also be denoted as GWP-total, GWP-fossil (fossil fuels), GWP-biogenic (biogenic sources), GWP-luluc (land use and land use change)), ODP = Ozone Depletion Potential, AP = Acidification Potential, EP = Eutrophication Potential, SFP = Smog Formation Potential, POCP = Photochemical oxidant creation potential, ADP-Fossil = Abiotic depletion potential for fossil resources, ADP-Minerals&Metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources, WDP = Water deprivation potential, PM = Particulate Matter Emissions, IRP = Ionizing radiation, human health, ETP-fw = Eco-toxicity (freshwater), HTP-c = Human toxicity (cancer), HTP-nc = Human toxicity (non-cancer), SQP = Soil quality index.

The estimated service life under this scenario is 10.0 years.



Technical service lifetime

Impact Category	Unit	Method	A1 - A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
GWP-total	kg CO2-eq	IPCC AR5 GWP 100	7.71e+0	1.56e-1	5.67e-1	0	6.14e+0	0	2.53e+1	0	0	0	0	1.73e-2	3.66e-1	1.02e+0	-1.69e+0
ODP	kg CFC-11 eq	TRACI 2.1	7.82e-5	2.68e-9	1.56e-6	0	1.85e-7	0	2.39e-4	0	0	0	0	2.97e-10	2.50e-9	2.79e-8	-1.77e-8
AP	kg SO2 eq	TRACI 2.1	3.07e-2	4.98e-4	7.13e-4	0	2.34e-2	0	9.56e-2	0	0	0	0	5.52e-5	2.40e-4	3.52e-3	-4.00e-3
EP	kg N eq	TRACI 2.1	3.16e-2	1.38e-4	7.31e-4	0	2.87e-2	0	9.75e-2	0	0	0	0	1.53e-5	1.55e-4	3.51e-3	-2.67e-3
POCP	kg O3 eq	TRACI 2.1	3.99e-1	1.14e-2	1.09e-2	0	3.24e-1	0	1.27e+0	0	0	0	0	1.27e-3	3.15e-3	5.73e-2	-8.64e-2

Note:

Not all abbreviated indicators listed below may be present in the results above. The inclusion of indicators varies based on PCR requirements.

Abbreviations:

GWP = Global Warming Potential, 100 years (may also be denoted as GWP-total, GWP-fossil (fossil fuels), GWP-biogenic (biogenic sources), GWP-luluc (land use and land use change)), ODP = Ozone Depletion Potential, AP = Acidification Potential, EP = Eutrophication Potential, SFP = Smog Formation Potential, POCP = Photochemical oxidant creation potential, ADP-Fossil = Abiotic depletion potential for fossil resources, ADP-Minerals&Metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources, WDP = Water deprivation potential, PM = Particular Matter Emissions, IRP = Ionizing radiation, human health, ETP-fw = Eco-toxicity (freshwater), HTP-c = Human toxicity (cancer), HTP-nc = Human toxicity (non-cancer), SQP = Soil quality index.

The estimated service life under this scenario is 15.0 years.

Resource Use Indicator

per 1 m2 of product of covered and protected substrate for a period of 60 years.

Market service lifetime

Indicator	Unit	A1 - A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
RPRE	MJ	6.23e+0	2.87e-2	1.28e-1	0	5.33e+1	0	3.19e+1	0	0	0	0	4.78e-3	1.44e-2	5.96e+0	-9.16e-1
RPRM	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RPRT	MJ	6.23e+0	2.87e-2	1.28e-1	0	5.33e+1	0	3.19e+1	0	0	0	0	4.78e-3	1.44e-2	5.96e+0	-9.16e-1
NRPRE	MJ	1.44e+2	2.27e+0	3.01e+0	0	8.81e+1	0	7.44e+2	0	0	0	0	3.77e-1	2.46e+0	1.71e+1	-3.64e+1
NRPRM	MJ	2.34e-1	0	4.68e-3	0	0	0	1.19e+0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NRPRRT	MJ	1.44e+2	2.27e+0	3.01e+0	0	8.81e+1	0	7.47e+2	0	0	0	0	3.77e-1	2.46e+0	1.71e+1	-3.64e+1
ADPF	MJ	1.70e+1	3.18e-1	3.58e-1	0	1.03e+1	0	8.85e+1	0	0	0	0	5.30e-2	3.66e-1	1.05e+0	-4.54e+0
FW	m3	9.09e-2	2.96e-4	2.41e-3	0	1.07e+0	0	4.68e-1	0	0	0	0	4.93e-5	2.43e-4	7.35e-3	-5.57e-3
RE	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NRSF	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RSF	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SM	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note:

Not all abbreviated indicators listed below may be present in the results above. The inclusion of indicators varies based on PCR requirements.

Abbreviations:

RPRE or PERE = Renewable primary resources used as energy carrier (fuel), RPRM or PERM = Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, RPRT or PERT = Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content, NRPRE or PENRE = Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), NRPRM or PENRM = Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, NRPRRT or PENRT = Total non-renewable primary resources with energy content, SM = Secondary materials, RSF = Renewable secondary fuels, NRSF = Non-renewable secondary fuels, RE = Recovered energy, ADPF = Abiotic depletion potential, FW = Use of net freshwater resources, VOCs = Volatile Organic Compounds.

The estimated service life under this scenario is 10.0 years.



Technical service lifetime

Indicator	Unit	A1 - A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
RPRE	MJ	6.23e+0	2.87e-2	1.28e-1	0	5.33e+1	0	1.92e+1	0	0	0	0	3.19e-3	9.63e-3	3.97e+0	-6.11e-1
RPRM	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RPRT	MJ	6.23e+0	2.87e-2	1.28e-1	0	5.33e+1	0	1.92e+1	0	0	0	0	3.19e-3	9.63e-3	3.97e+0	-6.11e-1
NRPRE	MJ	1.44e+2	2.27e+0	3.01e+0	0	8.81e+1	0	4.47e+2	0	0	0	0	2.51e-1	1.64e+0	1.14e+1	-2.43e+1
NRPRM	MJ	2.34e-1	0	4.68e-3	0	0	0	7.16e-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NRPRT	MJ	1.44e+2	2.27e+0	3.01e+0	0	8.81e+1	0	4.48e+2	0	0	0	0	2.51e-1	1.64e+0	1.14e+1	-2.43e+1
ADPF	MJ	1.70e+1	3.18e-1	3.58e-1	0	1.03e+1	0	5.31e+1	0	0	0	0	3.53e-2	2.44e-1	7.01e-1	-3.03e+0
FW	m3	9.09e-2	2.96e-4	2.41e-3	0	1.07e+0	0	2.81e-1	0	0	0	0	3.29e-5	1.62e-4	4.90e-3	-3.71e-3
RE	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NRSF	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RSF	MJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SM	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note:

Not all abbreviated indicators listed below may be present in the results above. The inclusion of indicators varies based on PCR requirements.

Abbreviations:

RPRE or PERE = Renewable primary resources used as energy carrier (fuel), RPRM or PERM = Renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, RPRT or PERT = Total use of renewable primary resources with energy content, NRPRE or PENRE = Non-renewable primary resources used as an energy carrier (fuel), NRPRM or PENRM = Non-renewable primary resources with energy content used as material, NRPT or PENRT = Total non-renewable primary resources with energy content, SM = Secondary materials, RSF = Renewable secondary fuels, NRSF = Non-renewable secondary fuels, RE = Recovered energy, ADPF = Abiotic depletion potential, FW = Use of net freshwater resources, VOCs = Volatile Organic Compounds.

The estimated service life under this scenario is 15.0 years.

Waste and Output Flow Indicators

per 1 m2 of product of covered and protected substrate for a period of 60 years.

Market service lifetime

Indicator	Unit	A1 - A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
HWD	kg	2.52e-2	0	5.05e-4	0	0	0	1.29e-1	0	0	0	0	0	1.51e-1	7.53e+0	0
NHWD	kg	5.48e-2	0	4.40e-2	0	0	0	4.94e-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MR	kg	6.75e-2	0	1.35e-3	0	0	0	3.44e-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MER	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLRW	m3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HLRW	m3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRU	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EE	MJ	4.74e-1	0	5.30e+0	0	0	0	2.89e+1	0	0	0	0	0	2.78e+0	0	0

Note:

Not all abbreviated indicators listed below may be present in the results above. The inclusion of indicators varies based on PCR requirements.

Abbreviations:

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed, NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed, RWD = Radioactive waste disposed, HLRW = High-level radioactive waste, ILLRW = Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, CRU = Components for re-use, MFR or MR = Materials for recycling, MER = Materials for energy recovery, MNER = Materials for incineration, no energy recovery, EE or EEE = Recovered energy exported from the product system, EET = Exported thermal energy.

The estimated service life under this scenario is 10.0 years. Significant data limitations currently exist within the LCI data used to generate waste metrics for Life Cycle Assessments and Environmental Product Declarations. The waste metrics were calculated in a way conformant with the requirements of ISO 21930:2017, but these values represent rough estimates and are for informational purposes only. As such, no decisions regarding actual cradle-grave waste performance between products should be derived from these reported values.

Technical service lifetime

Indicator	Unit	A1 - A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
HWD	kg	2.52e-2	0	5.05e-4	0	0	0	7.72e-2	0	0	0	0	0	1.01e-1	5.02e+0	0
NHWD	kg	5.48e-2	0	4.40e-2	0	0	0	2.97e-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MR	kg	6.75e-2	0	1.35e-3	0	0	0	2.07e-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MER	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ILLRW	m3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HLRW	m3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CRU	kg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EE	MJ	4.74e-1	0	5.30e+0	0	0	0	1.73e+1	0	0	0	0	0	1.86e+0	0	0

Note:

Not all abbreviated indicators listed below may be present in the results above. The inclusion of indicators varies based on PCR requirements.

Abbreviations:

HWD = Hazardous waste disposed, NHWD = Non-hazardous waste disposed, RWD = Radioactive waste disposed, HLRW = High-level radioactive waste, ILLRW = Intermediate- and low-level radioactive waste, CRU = Components for re-use, MFR or MR = Materials for recycling, MER = Materials for energy recovery, MNER = Materials for incineration, no energy recovery, EE or EEE = Recovered energy exported from the product system, EET = Exported thermal energy.

The estimated service life under this scenario is 15.0 years. Significant data limitations currently exist within the LCI data used to generate waste metrics for Life Cycle Assessments and Environmental Product Declarations. The waste metrics were calculated in a way conformant with the requirements of ISO 21930:2017, but these values represent rough estimates and are for informational purposes only. As such, no decisions regarding actual cradle-grave waste performance between products should be derived from these reported values.

Carbon Emissions and Removals

per 1 m2 of product of covered and protected substrate for a period of 60 years.

Market service lifetime

Indicator	Unit	A1 - A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Bio Carbon Removal from Product	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bio Carbon Emission from Product	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bio Carbon Removal from Packaging	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bio Carbon Emission from Packaging	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bio Carbon Emission from Waste during Manufacturing (renewable source)	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calcination Carbon Removal	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carbonation Carbon Emission	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carbon Emission from Waste during Manufacturing (non-renewable source)	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note:

Not all abbreviated indicators listed below may be present in the results above. The inclusion of indicators varies based on PCR requirements.

Abbreviations:

BCRP = Biogenic Carbon Removal from Product, BCEP = Biogenic Carbon Emission from Product, BCRK = Biogenic Carbon Removal from Packaging, BCEK = Biogenic Carbon Emission from Packaging, BCEW = Biogenic Carbon Emission from Combustion of Waste from Renewable Sources Used in Production Processes, CCE = Calcination Carbon Emissions, CCR = Carbonation Carbon Removals, CWNR = Carbon Emissions from Combustion of Waste from Non-Renewable Sources used in Production Processes, GWP-luc = Carbon Emissions from Land-use Change.

The estimated service life under this scenario is 10.0 years.

Technical service lifetime

Indicator	Unit	A1 - A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Bio Carbon Removal from Product	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bio Carbon Emission from Product	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bio Carbon Removal from Packaging	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bio Carbon Emission from Packaging	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bio Carbon Emission from Waste during Manufacturing (renewable source)	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calcination Carbon Removal	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carbonation Carbon Emission	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Carbon Emission from Waste during Manufacturing (non-renewable source)	kg CO2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Note:

Not all abbreviated indicators listed below may be present in the results above. The inclusion of indicators varies based on PCR requirements.


Abbreviations:

BCRP = Biogenic Carbon Removal from Product, BCEP = Biogenic Carbon Emission from Product, BCRK = Biogenic Carbon Removal from Packaging, BCEK = Biogenic Carbon Emission from Packaging, BCEW = Biogenic Carbon Emission from Combustion of Waste from Renewable Sources Used in Production Processes, CCE = Calcination Carbon Emissions, CCR = Carbonation Carbon Removals, CWNR = Carbon Emissions from Combustion of Waste from Non-Renewable Sources used in Production Processes, GWP-luc = Carbon Emissions from Land-use Change.

The estimated service life under this scenario is 15.0 years.

Interpretation

Raw materials are sourced from suppliers, transported to manufacturing facilities, and mixed to produce products. The product stage (A1-A3) drives the environmental impact, due to raw material production and energy use. This impacts is amplified in the use phase due to recoatings, making B4 the largest contributor to the overall impact. Market and Technical service life scenarios differ depending on assumed estimated service life (ESL), with lower impacts for longer-lived products. Those scenarios are constructed using PCR prescriptions that are highly conservative, as they assumed the replacemnt of the full coating sytem. End-of-life impacts are relatively low, as products are assumed to be landfilled with building demolition waste. Switching to renewable energy is advised for products with high manufacturing energy demands. The manufacturer should explore lower-impact raw materials and work with suppliers using sustainable methods or renewable energy to enhance product sustainability.



Additional Environmental Information

None

References

- PARQ. (2025). PARQ Core Life Cycle Assessment Model – Internal Report: Version 1.0.
- NSF International. (2025). Product Category Rules for Environmental Product Declarations for Resinous Floor Coatings.
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2017). ISO 21930:2017 – Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works – Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services. Geneva: ISO.
- IPCC. (2014). Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC. (Methodology for 100-year GWP factors in AR5)
- The Engineering ToolBox. (2009). Conveyors - Power and Torque. Retrieved February 27, 2025, from https://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/conveyor-power-load-d_1560.html
- Conveyor Equipment Manufacturers Association (CEMA). (2020). Belt conveyors for bulk materials (7th ed.). CEMA.
- American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. (2022). Industrial ventilation: A manual of recommended practice. ACGIH Publications.
- Paul, E. L., Atiemo-Obeng, V. A., & Kresta, S. M. (2004). Handbook of industrial mixing: Science and practice. John Wiley & Sons.
- Powder & Bulk Solids. (2021). Optimizing vibratory screen separator performance. Retrieved from https://powderprocess.net/Mixing/Power_Consumption.html
- Mujumdar, A. S. (2014). Handbook of industrial drying (4th ed.). CRC Press.
- MEKA Global. (n.d.). Vibrating screen capacity calculations. Retrieved from <https://www.mekaglobal.com/en/blog/vibrating-screen-capacity-calculations>
- Wei, Y., Tao, C., Zheng, L., & Huang, M. (2022). Design of vibrating screen separation equipment for powder materials. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 2235, No. 1, p. 012028). IOP Publishing.
- Perry, R. H., & Green, D. W. (2007). Perry's chemical engineers' handbook (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- McCabe, W. L., Smith, J. C., & Harriott, P. (2005). Unit operations of chemical engineering (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.



Henry, J. R. (2012). Packaging machinery handbook: The complete guide to automated packaging machinery, including packaging line design. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (1995). AP-42: Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors – Chapter 5. <https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-factors-and-quantification/ap-42>

Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry. (2012). Epoxy Resins. Wiley-VCH.

American Center for Life Cycle Assessment. (2019). ACLCA guidance to calculating non-LCIA inventory metrics in accordance with ISO 21930:2017. <https://aclca.org/aclca-iso-21930-guidance/>